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Abstract

ULCERATIVE COLITIS (UC) is a chronic health condition characterised by inflammation and ulcer formation in the colon and rectum. Although Chlorella vulgaris (C.V) possesses anti-inflammatory properties and additional therapeutic advantages, its efficacy in managing colitis has not been researched. Our research aims to examine the anti-colitis properties of Chlorella vulgaris using a colitis model in rats induced by acetic acid. Sixty male Wistar rats (150-170 g) were randomly distributed into six groups, including control group, acetic acid (received 2 ml per animal of 4% acetic acid transrectal single dose on the 15th day by (pediatric plastic catheter), sulfasalazine (100mg/kg b.w), Chlorella vulgaris only (300 mg/kg b.w), Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg b.w) with acetic acid, and Chlorella vulgaris at (300 mg/kg b.w) along with sulfasalazine (100 mg/kg b.w orally). Animals with induced colitis were sacrificed on the 7th day after induction, and whole blood was obtained for haematological analysis. Colons were removed for assessment of macroscopic and histological changes and for immunohistochemical and molecular analyses. Chlorella vulgaris treatment protects the colonic mucosa from inflammatory cell infiltration and destruction, profound erosive lesions, necrosis oedema, and loss of epithelial integrity. Moreover, administration of Chlorella vulgaris was associated with improved haematological parameters, macroscopic features, Malondialdehyde (MDA) and nitric oxide (NO) levels were lowered, whereas antioxidant/oxidant equilibrium was restored, as seen by increased catalase activity and decreased glutathione levels, inhibited inflammation by reducing NF-κB and apoptotic marker caspase-3 in colonic tissue, thus preventing apoptosis. In conclusion, Chlorella vulgaris effectively improves AA-induced UC in rats by reducing inflammation, apoptosis, and oxidative stress in colon tissue. Therefore, Chlorella vulgaris could be a suitable choice for UC therapy.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic state of inflammation and immunological activation of the gastrointestinal tract. The two major phenotypes of inflammatory bowel disease are Crohn’s disease, which can target any part of the gastrointestinal tract from the mouth to the anus, and ulcerative colitis, which impacts the mucosa of the colon and rectum [1]. The prevalence of colitis is increasing in developing nations, including Egypt [2]. Although the particular genesis of IBD remains unknown, environmental variables, infectious agents, immunological and psychological elements, and...
genetic predisposition may all play a role in the development of the condition [3;4]. Several investigations have shown that the gastrointestinal tract is a significant producer of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Bacteria, dietary components, and immune cell interactions account for most ROS production [5]. Acute acid-induced colitis is a widely used experimental model for ulcerative colitis because of its similarity to the actual clinical symptoms of UC, as well as its simplicity and consistency. Acetic acid can breach the epithelial barrier, disrupting the equilibrium between luminal antigens and intestinal immunity, which is the main trigger for colitis. In addition, increasing ROS in IBD reduces the total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of intestinal cells, resulting in a loss in the entire antioxidant system of intestinal cells, which includes both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants [6]. Recently, the main treatments for IBD include corticosteroids, sulfasalazine (aminosalicylates), immunosuppressive medications, and biological therapy [7]. However, these therapy choices have bounded positive benefits and are accompanied by negative side effects [8]. Recent research revealed that the administration of antioxidants with anti-inflammatory properties is useful for treating ulcerative colitis. Inhibition of inflammatory mediators is a useful treatment for both acute and chronic inflammatory disorders. Natural anti-inflammatory medicines with minimal side effects may be produced for long-term use. Microalgae are a natural source of bioactive chemicals and have been widely recognised to have anti-inflammatory properties [9; 10].

Chlorella vulgaris (CV) is a green microalga grown with high productivity that can be utilised as a functional food or nutritional supplement for both humans and animals to improve their health [11]. Chlorella vulgaris, a very nutritious unicellular freshwater microalga declared safe by the Food and Drug Administration, is an important natural dietary supplement for both humans and various animal species [12]. Chlorella vulgaris has various essential components, including the S-nucleotide adenosyl peptide complex, carotenoids, polysaccharides, polyphenols, minerals, and vitamins [13]. Chlorella vulgaris has been shown to reduce organ toxicities caused by chemotherapeutic medicines such as paracetamol in rats, in addition to improving stress-altered growth [14]; in the same context, Spirulina platensis counteracts the effect of diclofenac sodium in broilers [15], also for cutaneous wound healing capacity in a rat model [16]. In animal and human studies, chlorella species demonstrate antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, analgesic, immune-modulatory, and analgesic properties, as well as anticancer effects with antioxidative, antihypertensive, hypolipidemic, and hypoglycaemic effects [17; 18].

Current research aims to examine the antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, and antiapoptotic capacities of Chlorella vulgaris by estimating DAI, macroscopic damage, body weight, colon length, colon weight, leukogram, oxidative stress, histopathology, immune-histochemical analysis of the colon, and molecular gene expression in acetic acid-induced colitis to determine a potential role in the management of colitis.

**Material and Methods**

**Chemicals and assay kits**

Glacial Acetic Acid (AA) was obtained from Piochem for laboratory Chemicals Company (Cairo, Egypt) [19]. It was used for the induction of ulcerative colitis (4% acetic acid by adding distilled water).

**SALAZO-SULPH PYRINE** (Sulphasalazine tablet): supplied by USP KAHIRA Pharma, CAIRO, Egypt. Each enteric coated tablet contains 500 mg sulphasalazine, dissolved in 0.9% normal saline. Therapeutic indications: for the treatment of ulcerative colitis.

Dosage: 100 mg/kg diluted with normal saline 0.9% [20].

**Diethyl aether**: It was obtained from (Spinreact); it was used to Anaesthetize rats [21].

**Catalase (CAT)**, Malondialdehyde (MDA), reduced Glutathione (GSH), and nitric oxide (NO) were purchased from BIO-DIAGNOSTIC.

**EDTA was obtained from Salix.**

**Algae Material**

Chlorella vulgaris was purchased from EL- Dokki animal Research Institute. The green microalgae Chlorella vulgaris was cultivated in a closed photobioreactor [22] and spray-dried after harvesting and centrifugation. Chemical analyses of the algae were performed at the Institute for Cereal Proceeding. The obtained Chlorella vulgaris powder was mixed with normal saline and taken orally using an orogastric tube.

Dosage: 300 mg/kg [23]

**Animals and feed management**

This study was conducted in the Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary medicine, KFS University, Egypt. Sixty male albino rats weighing between 150 and 170 g each were utilised. Rats were purchased from the Tanta Centre's Animal House Colony and acclimatized for two weeks at room temperature (23 ± 1°C). Animals were kept in a comfortable habitat with a 12/12 h light-dark cycle and keeping relative humidity around 55 ± 1%. The animals were placed in plastic cages. They have
drinking water ad libitum and a typical laboratory diet.

Ethical approval

Following the normal operating procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Animal Ethics Committee (IAACUC-KSU), Faculty Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt.

Experimental design scheme

For the purposes of this experiment, 60 male Wistar rats were assigned randomly to six (6) groups (10 rats in each), with a 21-day experiment period, as shown in figure 1.

The 1st group, (C–ve): Rats were kept as controls and were only administered normal saline continuously for the whole period of the experiment (21 day) through an orogastric tube. Intrarectal instillation of 2ml of normal saline were administered at the 15th day of the experiment.

The second group, (C+ve): (Acetic Acid group) (AA); the rats were administered orally with normal saline throughout the trial. 2ml of acetic acid (4%) was administered intrarectally on the 15th day of the experiment as a positive control ( ulcerated, untreated). The third group (sulfasalazine, therapeutic group): The rats were treated orally with normal saline for two successive weeks followed by intrarectal administration of 2ml of acetic acid (4%) on the 15th day of the experiment with treatment for one week by sulfasalazine orally (100mg/kg b.w orally).

The fourth group: without colitis induction. Rats were orally administered Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg b.w) orally on the first day of the experiment continuously for the whole period of the experiment (21 day).

The 5th group: Rats were orally administered Chlorella vulgaris only (300 mg/kg b.w orally at the first day of the experiment) continuously for the whole period of the experiment (21 day) before and after intrarectal administration of 2ml of acetic acid (4%), which had been administered on the 15th day of the experiment.

The 6th group: Rats were orally co-administered Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg b.w orally at the first day of the experiment) continuously for 21 days throughout the entire period of the experiment before and after intrarectal administration of 2ml of 44% acetic acid on the 15th day of the experiment with treatment by sulfasalazine at a dose of 100 mg/kg b.w orally for 1 week after colitis induction.

Animals in each group were monitored on a daily basis for clinical symptoms, mortality rates, body weights, and food and water intakes. The rats were sacrificed on the seventh day after colitis induction; they were killed under diethyl aether anaesthesia.

Induction of colitis: To induce colitis, animals were fasted for 24 h prior to the experiment, although they were permitted to drink water. Under light anaesthesia, 2 mL of 4% acetic acid was administered rectally using a flexible plastic catheter that was 8 cm long.

To avoid leakage of acetic acid, the animals were maintained in the Trendelenburg position for 1 min. Animals in groups one and four underwent the same procedure using normal saline instead of acetic acid solution.

Two hours following the acid administration, treatment commenced with oral administration of chlorella vulgaris (300mg/kg), sulfasalazine (100 mg/kg), or its combination for seven consecutive days; animals were sacrificed on the seventh day. Subsequently, the abdomen was surgically opened; the colon was excised, opened lengthwise, and cleansed with normal saline.

Assessment of disease activity index (DAI): Following He et al. [24], clinical indications of colitis were assessed by the disease activity index with three significant symptoms, including stool consistency, presence of rectal haemorrhage and decrease in body weight. The disease activity index (DAI) for all groups was monitored daily following the induction of colitis (Table 1).

\[ DAI = \text{diarrhoea score} + \text{rectal bleeding score} + \text{body weight loss score}/3 \]

Evaluation of colitis severity by scoring macroscopic damage

Table (2), the colon was macroscopically inspected for clear injuries, including shortening, thickening, hyperaemia, adhesion, necrosis, or other significant morphological changes immediately following death. The abdomen was dissected through a midline incision, and the colons were extirpated and then rinsed with normal cold saline to remove the faeces, and its wet weight after separation of the colon from the adipose tissue and the remaining intestine was weighed using a sensitive balance scale. The excised part of the colon was also measured with the aid of a metre ruler. The weight to length ratio of the colon (g/cm) was calculated [25]. The colon macroscopic damage (CMD) was estimated according to this formula;

\[ \text{CMD} = \frac{\text{Weight of the colon (g)}}{\text{Length of the colon (cm)}} \]

Blood and colon sampling

On day 21 of the trial, blood samples were collected through retro-orbital puncture of the medial orbit.

canthus of the eyes in anticoagulant tubes under moderate ether anaesthesia by using of clean capillary tubes. The collected blood was analysed for the estimation of the total leukocytic count; total white blood cells (WBC). Subsequently, rats were sacrificed, and the rat abdomen was opened immediately through a midline incision. The colon was removed, flushed gently with ice-cold saline to remove luminal contents with normal saline, cleaned, and dried. Colons were positioned on non-absorbent surfaces, and their weight-to-length ratios were assessed blindly.

The colon was divided into three parts: the first part was dipped in liquid nitrogen and stored at 80°C for molecular analysis. The second part was homogenised in buffered saline solution (10% w/v), and colon homogenates were stored at 80°C for analysis of oxidative and antioxidant parameters. The final portion was placed in 10% fresh formalin solution for histological and immune histochemistry measurements. All samples were collected within 15 min after sacrifice.

Haematological examination

Blood samples were collected in sterile vacutainer tubes with EDTA to serve as an anticoagulant. for measuring white blood cells (WBCs) using a Rayto RT.7600 Auto Haematology Analyser [26,27].

Estimation of lipoperoxidation and antioxidant markers

Lipid peroxidation was estimated by colorimetric analysis of malondialdehyde, the main product of lipid peroxidation. MDA was evaluated in tissues homogenate using thiobarbituric acid-reactive substance reaction according to [28], nitric oxide (NO) activity with [29]. To evaluate oxidative stress activity. A spectrophotometric method for the determination of catalase activity in tissues homogenate was used by [30], and the concentration of GSH in the homogenate was measured spectrophotometrically for analyses of antioxidant status using the method described by [31].

Histopathological analysis

First, colon samples were fixed in a solution of 10% neutral buffered formalin with a pH of 7.4. Subsequently, the samples underwent a series of steps, including alcohol dehydration, xylene clearing, and subsequent paraffin embedding. Tissue sections were stained using hematoxylin and eosin and cover slips. These sections were utilised to prepare slides for light microscopy analysis. Histopathological assessment involved scoring observed findings on a four-point scale, considering factors such as mucosal necrosis, ulceration, submucosal oedema, haemorrhage, and inflammation. The scoring was conducted across eight high-power fields (HPFs) following a detailed method outlined elsewhere [32].

Immunohistochemistry analysis

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using paraffin sections fixed onto specially coated glass slides. These sections went through a series of steps, including xylene clearing, rehydration, and antigen retrieval using an EDTA solution at pH 8. The slides were treated with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) to minimize nonspecific staining and subsequently blocked with a solution containing 5% bovine serum albumin in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 2 h. The slides were then prepared and stained with an anti-caspase-3 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

After staining, the slides underwent multiple PBS washes and were then treated with a secondary antibody (EnVision + System HRP; Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for 30 minutes at room temperature. This was followed by additional washing steps and a 2-min incubation with diaminobenzidine (DAB; Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Finally, the slides were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin stain and covered with glass cover slides.

Scanned immunohistochemistry (IHC) images (five sections per group) were analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH/Bethesda, Maryland). This analysis involved quantifying the percentage of the area of caspase-3-positive regions (stained dark brown) compared with non-stained regions. This was achieved through the application of thresholding techniques, enabling the determination of the mean percentage of caspase-3-positive stained areas, which are indicative of apoptosis and necrosis [33].

Quantitative analysis of the RNA expression of IL10 and NF-kB by qRT-PCR

Rat specific primers full sequence for β-actin, NF-xB and IL10 genes are presented in Table.3 [34-36]. Colon Tissue RNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was then synthesised using cDNA synthesis kits (INTRON) following the manufacturer’s instructions. SYPR green (RT-PCR) was performed using BioRad IQ2 (Japan). The mRNA expression levels of all samples were normalised against β-actin reference gene. Melting curve analysis was performed to confirm the identity and specificity of the PCR products. CT values were analysed using the Stratagene MX3005P software. Estimation of RNA expression variation in different samples. The CT value of each sample was normalised against that of the control group using the “ΔΔCt” analysis method.

Statistical analysis

All results are expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for data analysis followed by Tukey’s compares for all pairs of columns. Statistically significant differences were
CHLORELLA VULGARIS EFFECTIVELY ATTENUATES ACETIC ACID-INDUCED COLITIS

Results

Mortality rate

Table 4 and Figure 2A depict the Mortality rate of the control and different treated groups. The control rats in the chlorella vulgaris (300mg/kg)-treated group without colitis induction did not show any abnormal clinical signs or mortality during the entire experimental period. The positive control group exhibited a marked increase in mortality rate (4/10) (40%). Furthermore, the sulfasalazine group used for the treatment of ulcerative colitis showed a decreased mortality rate (1/10) (10%). Chlorella vulgaris administration (300mg/kg) in acetic acid-induced colitis decreased the mortality rate to (20/10) (20%). Meanwhile, the co-administration of chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg b.w) with sulfasalazine led to a marked decrease in the mortality rate (0/10) (0%).

Disease activity index

DAI of the control and other treatment groups is illustrated in Table .5 and Figure 2B. Data revealed significant (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in DAI in the Acetic Acid group compared with the normal control group. The sulfasalazine group demonstrated a significant decrease in DAI compared with the control-positive group. Similarly, the chlorella vulgaris group (300 mg/kg bw) in acetic acid-induced colitis caused a pronounced decline in DAI in contrast with the control-positive group. Meanwhile, the co-administration of chlorella vulgaris (300mg /kg bw) with sulfasalazine showed a significant (p≤0.05) improvement in DAI compared with the control positive group.

Scoring severity of colitis

In terms of macroscopic damage score, acetic acid-positive colitis rats showed a significant (p ≤0.05) increase in damage score compared with the negative untreated ones. However, all other treatment groups showed a significant (p≤0.05) decrease in damage scores compared with the positive control group (Table. 5 and Fig.2C).

Body weight

The effect of C. vulgaris on body weight is demonstrated in Figure 2D. Concerning body weight, the data obtained indicated a decrease in the positive control group compared with the negative control group. However, in the sulfasalazine group, there was an increase in body weight. Simultaneously, simultaneous supplementation of chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) in acetic acid-induced colitis resulted in an improvement in body weight compared with the control positive group. Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) without colitis induction caused a pronounced increase in body weight. Meanwhile, chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) along with sulfasalazine revealed significant (p≤0.05) increase in body weight compared with the control positive group.

Colon weight and length

The colon weight of the control-positive group revealed significant (p≤0.05) improvement in contrast to the control-negative group. In contrast, the sulfasalazine group showed a significant decrease in colon weight compared with the control-positive group. Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) without colitis induction caused no change in colon weight compared with the control negative group. Supplementation of chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) in acetic acid-induced colitis colon weight showed pronounced improvement compared with the control-positive group. In the same manner, chlorella vulgaris (300mg /kg bw) along with sulfasalazine revealed significant (p≤0.05) increase in colon weight as matched with the control positive group Table .5 and Fig.3A.

Furthermore, the control-positive group showed significant (p≤0.05) decrease in colon length compared with the control-negative group Table .5 and Fig.3B and photo1&2. However, the sulfasalazine group revealed a significant (p≤0.05) increase in colon length in contrast to the control-positive group. Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) without colitis induction caused no change in colon length compared with the control negative group. A significant (p≤0.05) increase in colon length was detected in chlorella vulgaris (300mg /kg bw) in acetic acid-induced colitis or its combination with sulfasalazine groups compared with the control positive group.

Colon weight/length ratio

The colon weight/length ratio in the control and different treated groups is recorded in Table. 5 and Fig. 3C. The weight/length ratio data reflected a significant (p ≤ 0.05) increase in the control-positive group compared with the control negative group. On the other hand, the sulfasalazine group revealed a significant (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in the weight/length ratio of the colon in contrast to the control positive group. Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg /kg bw) without colitis induction caused no change in Colon weight/length ratio compared with the control negative group. Supplementation of chlorella vulgaris alone or in combination with sulfasalazine in acetic acid-induced colitis revealed a significant (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in weight/length ratio of colon in comparison with the control positive group.

Haematological finding

Data explored in Table .6 and Figure .4A-D show leukograms in the control and different treatment groups. Data demonstrated a considerable (P<0.05) rise in WBC, lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte counts in the acetic acid-induced colitis...
group compared with the control negative group. In contrast, the sulfasalazine group revealed a significant decline in WBC, lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte counts compared with the control positive group. Chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) without colitis induction caused no significant change in the leukogram compared with the control negative group. Supplementation of chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) in acetic acid-induced colitis caused significant (p≤0.05) decrease in WBC, lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte counts compared with the control positive group. In the same manner, chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) along with sulfasalazine revealed significant (p≤0.05) decrease in WBCs, lymphocytes, monocytes, and granulocytes count matched with the control positive group.

Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant biomarkers

Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant parameters in the control and different treated groups were portrayed in Table 7 and Figure 5A-D. The levels of MDA and NO were significantly elevated (p≤0.05) in the colon tissue homogenate in the control positive group (A.A) compared with the control negative group. However, the colitis group showed a significant decline in GSH and CAT in comparison with the control negative group. In contrast, the sulfasalazine group revealed a significant (p≤0.05) decrease in MDA and NO content and a significant increase in GSH and CAT in contrast with the control positive group. Regarding chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) supplementation in the colitis group induced by acetic acid, a significant (p≤0.05) decline in colon tissue content of MDA and NO was observed compared with the control positive group. An increase in GSH and CAT was found in contrast with the control positive one. In a similar manner, the co-administration of chlorella vulgaris (300 mg/kg bw) with sulfasalazine resulted in a significant (p≤0.05) decrease in MDA and NO in contrast with the control positive group. Conversely, noticeable improvements in GSH and CAT levels were observed compared with the control positive group.

Histopathological findings

Histopathological examination of the control intestinal mucosa showed normal characteristics, including a well-organised structure with columnar epithelial cells creating crypts and villi. The submucosa is devoid of inflammatory cell infiltration Figure 6A. Conversely, pathological lesions in the colon from rats subjected induced colitis by AA showed up significant inflammatory infiltration and destruction of the colonic mucosa, deep erosive lesions, widespread necrosis along the mucosa, and loss of epithelial integrity. Furthermore, submucosal oedema, haemorrhage, and inflammatory cell infiltration appeared to disturb normal tissue architecture Figure 6B.

Sulfasalazine treatment, on the other hand, resulted in moderate improvements in the therapy group (G3), as shown by a modest improvement in epithelial coverage integrity and crypt organisation. Nonetheless, there was less oedema in the submucosa, in addition to the persistence of inflammatory cell infiltration, especially in the mucosal layer, and slight superficial epithelial degeneration Figure 6C. The administration of chlorella vulgaris to healthy animals showed normal general histological architecture of the mucosa and submucosa. However, there is a remarkable mononuclear cell infiltration in the lamina propria and slight goblet cell proliferation Figure 6D. In the same pattern, treating the rats with chlorella vulgaris before inducing colitis led to mucosal protection, with markedly reduced acetic acid-induced destructive reaction in addition to clear expansion of goblet cell proliferation. Also, there is an inflammatory cell infiltration clearly observed in lamina propria Figure 6E. On the other hand, co-treatment involving the combination of chlorella vulgaris and sulfasalazine showed normal histological features as observed in the non-treated control group without notable inflammatory reaction but with slight villi elongation, indicating a significant regenerative effect Figure 6F. These histological findings highlight the potential benefit of Chlorella vulgaris in mitigating the negative impacts of acetic acid-induced ulcerative colitis. Control of immune cell infiltration and restoration of colon tissue architecture are clear indications.

Immunohistochemistry finding

In the immunohistochemical analysis, the percentage of apoptotic cells exhibiting immune reactivity was most obvious in group 2 Figure 7B. This finding was notably distinct from the control group Figure 7A, which displayed the lowest level of caspase-3 enrichment. In group 5 (the diseased group pre-treated with chlorella vulgaris, 300 mg/kg), there was only marginal improvement in the apoptosis percentage Figure 7E when compared with both the therapeutic group (group 3) and group 4 (Figure 7C and D), respectively. Corresponding to the H&E results, Group 6 (the diseased group that received co-treatment of chlorella vulgaris at 300 mg/kg and sulfasalazine) displayed a low apoptotic percentage of T.7F, which closely resembled that of the control group. No significant differences were observed between the two groups.

Molecular investigation

Our findings revealed that administration of A.A altered the expression analysis of NF-kB (Nuclear factor kappa B) and IL-10 (Interleukin 10) genes. NF-kB gene as a marker for colon inflammation was significantly upregulated (p≤0.05), IL-10 as an anti-inflammatory marker was decreased significantly (p≤0.05) in the control-positive rats as compared
with the control negative group Table.9, while supplying chlorella vulgaris at a dose of (300 mg/kg b.w) in A.A-induced colitis group downregulated NF-κB gene and upregulated IL10 gene expression when compared with colitis animals as showed in Figure.8A&B. Co-administration of chlorella vulgaris (300mg /kg bw with sulfasalazine) resulted in a significant (p<0.05) decline in NF-κB gene expression and enhancement in IL10 gene expression.

Discussion

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a commonly prevalent inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) that significantly affects quality of life [38]. Although the development of UC involves numerous genetic and immunological factors, its precise cause remains the subject of ongoing research. The production of UC has been linked to multiple inflammatory mediators and reactive oxygen species [39;40]. The acetic acid (AA)-induced UC model is well researched and straightforward to implement in experiments [41].

The AA-induced colitis rat model is a thoroughly validated animal model that exhibits many characteristics common to human ulcerative colitis, including melena, colonic shortening, severe diarrhoea, bloody stools, mucosal ulcerations, inflammatory cell infiltration, and weight loss [42]. AA-induced colitis, which results from extensive intracellular acidification, leads to the degradation of mucosal barriers, damaging the colonic epithelium. This damage triggers the activation of cells that release inflammatory cytokines, including monocytes and macrophages [43]. This model displays inflammatory [44] and oxidative responses that imitate the pathogenesis of IBD in humans [45]. Consequently, AA-induced colitis could serve as an appropriate model for testing agents that may possess anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties.

In this study, the intrarectal application of acetic acid notably decreased the body weight of rats. Weight loss in colitis stems from nutrient deficiencies owing to diminished appetite, aversion to food, or malabsorption, along with the quick loss of body fluids through colorectal bleeding and diarrhoea. Additionally, TNF-α and IL-6 significantly contribute to weight loss in colitis through the release of neuropeptides that suppress appetite and lead to cachexia [46].

A recent study demonstrated a significant rise in DAI and macroscopic damage in control-positive rats compared with normal-negative rats. This increase in DAI was attributed to severe ulceration and tissue necrosis accompanied by inflammatory infiltrates and goblet cell hyperplasia, as revealed by the findings of histopathological assessments. This was further corroborated at an increased mortality rate of 40%. Our results are in accordance with those of Ghazy, Mohibbatly et al. [47].

Meanwhile, the control positive rats exhibited a marked increase in colon weight with an obvious reduction in colon length compared with the normal negative rats. This may be attributed to the influx of neutrophils and macrophages to the injury site, leading to increased thickness of the colonic wall and a corresponding rise in colonic weight. At the same time, cell turgor, submucosal oedema, vascular dilatation, and goblet cell hyperplasia were observed, and these results parallel with Jagaur, Niphadkar et al. [48].

Haematological changes caused by tissue destruction are significant clinical symptoms of inflammatory bowel disorders. Thus, an examination of haematological parameters might be used to evaluate the degree of disease status of colitis. With regard to leukogram parameters (WBCs, absolute number of lymphocytes, Granulocytes and Monocytes), Our findings revealed a substantial rise in total WBC count and absolute count of lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes in the acetic acid group compared with the control negative group. However, WBC values function as inflammatory indices in everyday clinical practises to determine UC. The larger number of WBCs in the acetic acid group may be due to the infiltration of inflammatory cells derived as lymphocytes and neutrophils because of the increase in inflammatory response during colitis in experimental rats [49; 50].

IBD is primarily caused by oxidative stress and a depleted free radical scavenging mechanism that regulates the production of ROS and iNOS [51]. The increase of both ROS and iNOS will result in reduced catalase and glutathione antioxidants, which activate the reactive oxygen metabolite cascade and lead to lipid peroxidation (LPO) [52]. Increased oxidative stress was observed in AA-induced UC rats, which was validated by elevated levels of lipid peroxidation, as indicated by increases in MDA and NO levels. NO is generated by iNOS and has been recognised as inflammatory mediator [53].

In a recent study, the control-positive animals exhibited a significant increase in MDA and NO; with marked inhibition in CAT and GSH compared with the control-negative group. These results are consistent with those obtained in [54; 55]. ROS and free radicals produced by migrating neutrophils attack cellular macromolecules, disrupting epithelial cells, and causing significant colon damage [56]. Furthermore, ROS cause extensive oxidation of cell membrane phospholipids, proteins, and DNA. Such oxidation creates additional stimulation of more neutrophils and macrophage infiltration into the injured tissue. As a result, the intestinal mucosa has complex enzymatic and non-enzymatic complex antioxidant defence mechanisms to regulate ROS levels. Inflamed colon tissues consume GSH and CAT to neutralise oxidative stress, resulting in a reduction in glutathione (GSH) and catalase (CAT)

levels, which attempt to heal and repair damaged cells.

ROS-induced lipid peroxidation produces malondialdehyde (MDA). Therefore, the higher MDA in acetic acid (4%) caused colitis in rats, leading to an increase in lipid peroxidation and significant cell damage [57]. Some inflammatory cells, such as granular leukocytes (neutrophils) or granular leukocytes (monocytes and macrophages), create nitric oxide (NO), as do epithelial cells from inflamed colon tissue in the extravascular compartment. As a result, higher NO content in experimental colitis is recognized as an indicator of inflammation [58,59].

In this study, C. vulgaris significantly inhibited MDA levels while increasing GSH levels and CAT activities in the colon, indicating that it has antioxidant capacity, which is key in its anti-inflammatory action. Chlorella vulgaris contains a plethora of antioxidants, including polyphenols, vitamin C, lutein, carotenoids, and tocopherol, in addition to protein, fat, minerals, and other vitamins [60,61,62]. Chlorella vulgaris decreases oxidative stress and scavenges ROS, both directly and indirectly, by boosting antioxidative pathways and enzymes [63].

AA administered intrarectally resulted in considerable histological changes such as colonic thickness, hyperaemia, inflammatory infiltration, and goblet cell hyperplasia. Other investigators reported similar findings [64,65] that confirm the present results. Macroscopic examination of the colon showed a considerable increase in the weight/length ratio of rats, which is the result of significant tissue oedema, necrosis, goblet cell hyperplasia, and inflammatory cell infiltration [66,67]. The severity of colitis caused by AA in the colon was assessed in all rats. The primary characteristics of UC, including mucosal erosion, mucosal necrosis, ulceration, inflammation, and bleeding, were noted following the induction of UC compared with the normal control rats. Chlorella vulgaris, either alone or with Sulfasalazine, improved the AA-induced impairment, as demonstrated by a lower drop in body weight, colon weight ratio, DAI Score, ulceration, and improved mucosal content, as displayed in Figure 2. This resulted in significant protection. C. vulgaris may protect against UC by decreasing the release or synthesis of inflammatory mediators produced by AA or by increasing mucous content and inhibiting apoptotic damage. Polyphenols may have a mitigating effect on disorders linked to oxidative stress and inflammation [68,69,70].

Apoptosis is a programmed cell death process that occurs during the natural turnover of damaged cells. Apoptosis is also regarded as a component of a defence mechanism activated by tissue damage and immunological reactions induced by various disease conditions [71]. Dysregulation of apoptosis is a critical factor in the pathogenesis of UC. Furthermore, immunohistochemical detection in the current study indicated high expression of caspase-3 (an apoptotic marker) in the AA-treated group compared with the control animals. The AA-treated group demonstrated cell injury that caused DNA damage, resulting in the release of cytochrome c (a pro-apoptotic proteins (from mitochondria into the cytoplasm and the activation of caspase-3 and apoptosis at the end stage. This is consistent with previous investigations [33]. Chlorella vulgaris has been employed as a protein source for humans and as an alternative to antibiotics in animal production [72]. The results of our investigation explain the influence of Chlorella vulgaris on the apoptotic state in UC. Chlorella vulgaris treatment resulted in a reduction in caspase-3 expression, showing that Chlorella vulgaris reduces colonic ulceration through suppression of apoptosis. This outcome is in agreement with [73] that tested the anti-apoptotic properties of Chlorella vulgaris in illness models. The transcription factor NF-κB (a marker for colon inflammation) is inactive when coupled with IκB (inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B), and its activation depends on oxidative stress caused by free radicals [74]. The nucleus contains active NF-κB subunits that regulate inflammatory genes and play a significant role in the immunological process of IBD [75]. NF-κB increases oxidative stress and inflammatory cytokines, which can induce colonic epithelial damage and colitis [76]. The current study found that A.A treatment significantly increased NF-κB levels. These findings support previous research indicating that A.A positivity leads to increased NF-κB activation in a rat model of colitis [77,78].

Interleukin 10 (IL-10) is a powerful anti-inflammatory cytokine that plays a critical, and often essential, role in avoiding inflammatory and autoimmune diseases [79,80]. IL10 deficiency or abnormal expression can improve the inflammatory response to microbial challenge, but it can also play a role in the development of inflammatory bowel disease and a variety of autoimmune illnesses [81,82]. In an experimental colitis model in rats, AA significantly reduced IL-10 expression [83]. ROS and pro-inflammatory mediators participate in the onset of apoptosis [84]. The potential effect of Chlorella vulgaris in suppressing apoptosis could be connected to a reduction of lipid peroxidation and inflammation. Supplementation with C. vulgaris resulted in a marked decrease in NF-κB and an increase in IL10 expression compared with the control group. These results are in accordance with the results of Ran, Chen et al. [85]; Zayat, Dehpour et al. [86]. Sibi and Rabina [87] demonstrated that treatment with Chlorella vulgaris extracts suppresses the inflammatory response by decreasing the production of NO, PGE2, TNF-α and IL-6 in LPS-activated RAW 264.7 cells in vitro. This postulates
that C. vulgaris may be a natural source of anti-inflammatory drugs due to its considerable anti-inflammatory activity. Significantly, the combination of Chlorella vulgaris and Sulphasalazine in acetic acid-induced colitis offered more protection and was more effective than using either substance alone. This was evidenced by the lowest mortality rate (0%), significant decrease in DAI, macroscopic damage, colon weight, colonic NO, MDA, NF-κB and Caspase-3 gene expression levels, and necrotic effects in colon tissues. The white blood cell count was also dramatically reduced following colitis induction. Body weight, colon length, GSH, Catalase, and IL10 gene expression levels all showed a significant rise. Histopathological and immunohistochemistry findings were identical to the negative control group, owing to an improvement in growth performance and health condition [88]. Chlorella vulgaris not only modulates the inflammatory response of acetic acid-induced colitis but also has a major nutritional value because of its abundance of chlorophyll pigment and key amino acids essential for human growth and health. Furthermore, significant concentrations of calcium, phosphorus, iodine, manganese, iron, and vitamins such as A, B1, B2, B3, B6, B12, C-67, and E were found [89].

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, Chlorella vulgaris effectively treats acetic acid-induced ulcerative colitis in rats by reducing inflammation, oxidative stress, and apoptosis in colonic tissues. These results postulate that chlorella vulgaris may have an anti-ulcerative colitis impact in the treatment of UC.
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**TABLE 1. Scoring of disease activity index (DAI).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Weight loss %</th>
<th>Stool consistency</th>
<th>Occult/gross bleeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
<td>Loose stools</td>
<td>Occult blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10-15%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>Gross bleeding+ mucus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2. Criteria for scoring of gross morphologic damage of colon:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Localized hyperemia, no ulcer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ulceration without hyperemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ulceration with inflammation at one site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Two or more sites of discrete ulceration and inflammation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3. Primer sequences of the genes investigated by real-time RT-PCR Forward (F) and reverse primer (R) sequence of β-actin, NFκB and IL-10 and Gene bank accession numbers:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rat β-actin</td>
<td>AGTGTGACGTGGACATCCCGTA</td>
<td>NM_031144.3</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCCAGACCAGTAATCTCTTCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TGGGACGACACCTCTACAAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB)</td>
<td>GGAGCTACATCATAGTTGTCC</td>
<td>XM_006233360.4</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10 (IL-10)</td>
<td>CTGGAGTGGAAGACCAGCAAGGG</td>
<td>NM_012854</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGAGAAATCGTGTGACACCGTCG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 4. Mortality rate of control and different treated groups:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total no</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>2nd day</th>
<th>3rd day</th>
<th>4th day</th>
<th>5th day</th>
<th>6th day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>Mort. rate %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control negative</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Positive (A.A)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine + C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Means ± standard error. Mean values with different letters at the same column differ significantly.

**TABLE 5. DAI and colon lesion parameters (mean ± SE) in control and different treated groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DAI</th>
<th>Severity of Colitis</th>
<th>Colon weight (g)</th>
<th>Colon length (cm)</th>
<th>weight/length ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control negative</td>
<td>0.0±0.0^b</td>
<td>0.0±0.0^b</td>
<td>0.82±0.03^c</td>
<td>12.56±0.16^a</td>
<td>0.07±0.002^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Positive (A.A)</td>
<td>2.51±0.44^a</td>
<td>3.80±0.20^a</td>
<td>1.70±0.08^b</td>
<td>8.9±0.33^c</td>
<td>0.19±0.01^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine</td>
<td>0.97±0.31^b</td>
<td>1.80±0.20^bc</td>
<td>1.3±0.09^b</td>
<td>11.00±0.15^b</td>
<td>0.12±0.006^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>0.00.0^b</td>
<td>0.0±0.0^d</td>
<td>0.87±0.05^c</td>
<td>12.60±0.29^a</td>
<td>0.07±0.004^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>1.18±0.40^b</td>
<td>2.00±0.31^b</td>
<td>1.24±0.05^b</td>
<td>11.60±0.18^ab</td>
<td>0.11±0.004^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine + C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>0.61±0.26^b</td>
<td>0.80±0.37^cd</td>
<td>0.96±0.04^c</td>
<td>12.30±0.20^a</td>
<td>0.08±0.004^c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Means ± standard error. Mean values with different letters at the same column differ significantly, Disease Activity Index (DAI).

**TABLE 6. Leukogram (Mean ± SEM) in control and different treated groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WBCs (10^9/μl)</th>
<th>Lymphocyte (×10^3/μl)</th>
<th>Granulocyte (×10^9/μl)</th>
<th>Monocytes (×10^9/μl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control negative</td>
<td>9.9±0.12^c</td>
<td>7.60±0.15^d</td>
<td>1.86±0.12^c</td>
<td>0.30±0.04^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Positive (A.A)</td>
<td>36.2±1.21^a</td>
<td>22.84±0.85^a</td>
<td>10.90±0.23^a</td>
<td>2.46±0.21^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine</td>
<td>15.30±0.15^b</td>
<td>11.14±0.19^bc</td>
<td>3.38±0.07^b</td>
<td>0.76±0.02^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>10.82±0.12^c</td>
<td>8.08±0.13^d</td>
<td>2.16±0.06^c</td>
<td>0.40±0.04^bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>17.40±0.9^b</td>
<td>12.50±0.74^b</td>
<td>4.06±0.04^bd</td>
<td>0.80±0.05^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A+ Sulphasalazine+C.V (300mg/kg BW)</td>
<td>12.06±0.17^c</td>
<td>9.10±0.17^ed</td>
<td>2.46±0.11^cd</td>
<td>0.48±0.037^bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Means ± standard error. (n=9) Mean values with different letters at the same column differ significantly at (p ≤0.05). White blood cells (WBCs).
### TABLE 7. Lipid peroxidation and antioxidant parameters (Mean±SEM) in control and different treated groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Control negative</th>
<th>Control Positive (A.A)</th>
<th>A.A + Sulphasalazine</th>
<th>C.V (300mg /kg BW)</th>
<th>A.A + C.V (300mg /kg BW)</th>
<th>A.A + Sulphasalazine+ C.V (300mg /kg BW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDA (nmol/g.tissue)</td>
<td>20.10±0.87</td>
<td>43.26±1.61</td>
<td>33.52±1.38</td>
<td>20.48±0.53</td>
<td>29.24±0.67</td>
<td>23.10±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (µmol/g.tissue)</td>
<td>9.90±0.38</td>
<td>29.72±1.80</td>
<td>17.64±0.64</td>
<td>9.20±0.22</td>
<td>20.20±0.48</td>
<td>13.28±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase (U/g.tissue)</td>
<td>16.66±0.46</td>
<td>8.88±0.55</td>
<td>11.78±0.31</td>
<td>18.44±0.58</td>
<td>12.52±0.33</td>
<td>14.74±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH (mg/g.tissue)</td>
<td>47.50±0.97</td>
<td>20.70±1.35</td>
<td>34.24±0.79</td>
<td>50.52±1.68</td>
<td>38.40±0.50</td>
<td>44.80±1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Means ± standard error. Mean values with different letters at the same column differ significantly.

Malondialdehyde (MDA), nitric oxide (NO), reduced glutathione (GSH).

### TABLE 8. Inflammatory and degenerative changes count for H&E in control and different treated groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mucosal ulceration</th>
<th>Mucosal necrosis</th>
<th>Hemorrhage</th>
<th>Edema</th>
<th>Inflammation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control negative</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Positive (A.A)</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V (300mg /kg BW)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + C.V (300mg /kg BW)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine+C.V (300mg /kg BW)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Score of lesions; (− negative; + = mild; ++ = moderate; +++ = severe).

### TABLE 9. NFKB and IL10 gene expression in control and different treated groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NFKB</th>
<th>IL10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control negative</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Positive (A.A)</td>
<td>11.73±0.67</td>
<td>0.21±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine</td>
<td>4.44±0.41</td>
<td>0.67±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V (300mg /kg BW)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + C.V (300mg /kg BW)</td>
<td>4.82±0.29</td>
<td>0.71±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.A + Sulphasalazine+C.V (300mg /kg BW)</td>
<td>1.79±0.21</td>
<td>0.90±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Means ± standard error. Mean values with different letters at the same column differ significantly. Nuclear factor kappa B (NFKB), and Interleukin 10 (IL10).
Fig. 1. Experimental design.

Fig. 2. A) Mortality rate in control and different treated groups, B) Disease Activity Index (DAI) in control and different treated groups, C) Macroscopic damage score in control and different treated groups, D) Body weight change in control and different treated groups.
Fig. 3. A) Colon weight in control and different treated groups, B) Colon length in control and different treated groups, C) Colon weight/length ratio in control and different treated groups.

Photo 1. Severity of colitis in control and different treated groups. Photo 2: Colon length in control and different treated groups. G1: Control negative G2: Control Positive (A.A) G3: A.A + Sulphasalazine, G4: C.V (300mg /kg BW) G5: A.A + C.V (300mg /kg BW) G6: A.A+ Sulphasalazine + C.V (300mg /kg BW)
Fig. 4. A) WBCs count $10^3/\mu l$ in control and different treated groups, B) Lymphocytes $10^3/\mu l$ in control and different treated groups, C) Granulocytes $10^3/\mu l$ in control and different treated groups, D) Monocytes $10^3/\mu l$ in control and different treated groups.

Fig. 5. A) MDA (nmol/g) in control and different treated groups, B) Nitric acid (µmol/g.tissue) in control and different treated groups, C) CAT (U/g) in control and different treated groups, D) GSH (mg/g) in control and different treated groups.
Fig. 6. (A, B, C, D, E and F): demonstrates colon sections stained with H&E showing the effect of chlorella vulgaris and sulfasalazine on acetic acid-induced ulcerative colitis. Colonic sections from control group (16A) illustrate normal mucosa including surface epithelium and crypts. Colonic sections from the acetic acid-induced colitis group (16B) showing marked necrosis of the mucosal lining with oedema of submucosa and marked inflammatory cells infiltration. Sections from the therapeutic sulfasalazine-treated group (16C) showing moderate inflammatory cell infiltration and mild superficial epithelial necrosis. Colonic section from normal group treated with chlorella vulgaris (16D) showing mononuclear cell infiltration in lamina propria and slight increase of goblet cells. Colonic section from colitis group pre-treated with chlorella vulgaris (16E) showing marked reduction in inflammatory cell infiltration except for some infiltration in lamina propria and evident expansion of goblet cell proliferation. Colonic section from colitis group pre-treated with chlorella vulgaris & post treated with sulfasalazine (16F) resembled the histological features observed in the non-treated control group. H&E, bar = 100 µm. (16G) Scoring of colitis. ***, P < 0.001; ns= not significant on Student’s t test.

Fig. 7. In the immunohistochemical analysis, the percentage of apoptotic cells exhibiting immune reactivity was most obvious in group1 (Fig. 7B). This finding was notably distinct from the control group (Fig.7A), which displayed the lowest level of caspase 3 enrichment. In group 5 (the diseased group pre-treated with chlorella vulgaris, 300 mg/kg), there was only marginal improvement in the apoptosis percentage (Fig.7E) when compared to both the therapeutic group (group 3) and group 4 (Fig. 7C & 7D, respectively). Corresponding to the H&E results, Group 6 (the diseased group that received co-treatment of C. vulgaris at 300 mg/kg and sulfasalazine) displayed a low apoptotic percentage (Fig. 7F), which closely resembled that of the control group. There was no significant difference between these two groups.
References


77. Ran, Z. H., Chen, C. and Xiao, S. D. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate ameliorates rats colitis induced by acetic acid. *Biomedicine and
1780


81. Gazzinelli, R. T., Wysocka, M., Hiény, S., Scharton-Kersten, T., Cheever, A., Kühn, R., Müller, W., Trinchieri, G. and Sher, A. In the absence of endogenous IL-10, mice acutely infected with Toxoplasma gondii succumb to a lethal immune response dependent on CD4+ T cells and accompanied by overproduction of IL-12, IFN-gamma and TNF-alpha. Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950), 157(2), 798–805 (1996).


Chlorella vulgaris successfully reduces the inflammatory response to AA-induced UC in rats, as evidenced by decreased neutrophil infiltration, caspase-3 activity, and NF-κB levels. This supports its potential as a valuable therapy for UC.
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