



## Effect of Supplementation of Different Levels of Azolla Plant Powder on The Productive Performance and Some Qualitative Characteristics of Eggs of Japanese Quail



Qana H. Al-Jabari<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed A. Mohammed<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Gh. Baker<sup>1</sup> and Ahmed S. Shaker<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Animal Production Department, College of Agriculture, Kirkuk University, Kirkuk, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup> Animal Production Department, Directorate of Agricultural Research, Slemani, Iraq.

**T**HIS STUDY was carried out in the poultry field of the animal production department / college of agriculture/ Kirkuk university form (18<sup>th</sup> Feb, 2022 until 18<sup>th</sup> April 2022), to investigate effect of different azolla plant powder on the egg production, and its quality of Japanese quail. Twenty male and sixty females at age 40 days were randomly allocated to five treatments (0% azolla, 4% azolla, 8% azolla, 4% azolla + Enzyme and 8% azolla + Enzyme). By using the electronic balance and digital clipper Vernier, the egg production and the egg quality traits were taken daily. The statistical software SAS was utilized to compute the mean, standard error, and significance using the General Linear Model (GLM). The discrepancies between the means were tested using the Duncan multiple range test. All the traits were significantly differing among the treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). We conclude that adding azolla at 8% with enzyme was enhanced and increase the productivity and the egg quality traits of Japanese quail.

**Keywords:** Azolla, Quail, Egg, traits.

### Introduction

Azolla is an aquatic fern, using as feed supplementation in poultry and mammals diet. As it is described by [1] Azolla has at least eight species, and the most common used is Azolla pinnata. The azolla firstly used as a green manure, but by the time used as saline soil reclaimed, biogas producer, and bio-mediator. Azolla meal was used successfully for chicken [2], quail [3], duck [4], and fish [5]. Moreover, it was used for the mammals feed such as cattle [6], and rabbits [7]. The ratio of protein in azolla ranged between (25-35) %, and it's rich by essential minerals, and vitamins [8]. Several study were done to study the effect of azolla on the growth, and egg production performance. [9], was finding in his study that adding azolla at 3% enhance the growth and FCR. Moreover, azolla rich by B carotene pigment, which effect on the yolk color in layer diet [10]. [11] reported that using azolla in layer diet resulted

increasing in egg production, furthermore [12] was noticed adding azolla effected positively on the eggshell strength due to high level of calcium content of azolla.

Due to the small size, easy to breed, and small generation period, was make the Japanese quail frequently uses in poultry fields such as, nutrition [13, 14], and breeding [15]. The objective of the current study was to investigate the effect of different concentration of azolla on the egg production performance, and egg quality of Japanese quail.

### Experimental

The study was conducted from February 18, 2022, until April 18, 2022, in the animal production department of Kirkuk University's college of agriculture. At 40 days of age, twenty males and sixty female birds were divided into five

\*Corresponding author: Ahmed S. Shaker, E-mail: dr.ahmedshaker79@gmail.com, Tel. +9647701334900

(Received 20/01/2024, accepted 07/03/2024)

DOI: 10.21608/EJVS.2024.264049.1793

©2024 National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

groups at random and given one of the following five treatments: 0% azolla, 4% azolla, 8% azolla, 4% azolla + Enzyme, and 8% azolla + Enzyme. Each group was divided for four replications, each with one male and three females, and the enzymes used were  $\alpha$ -protease, B-glucanase, Xylanase, Amylase, and Phytase. The birds had ad libitum food and water while they were mating in a battery system (Table 1).

Each bird's egg weight was measured every day using a sensitive electronic scale (0.01) g, and feed intake was calculated by weighing the bucket, the feed, and the feed both before and after it was consumed. This was done before the bucket was refilled. Every day, the amount of eggs produced was noted for every duplicate. After determining the egg form index, egg surface area, and egg volume [16] and measuring the external egg traits with a clipper Vernier (0.01) mm, the eggs were shattered in order to examine the inside egg traits using an electronic balance (0.01) g, as explained by [17]. The following equation was used to get the yolk to albumin ratio:

$$\text{Yolk\%} = (\text{yolk weight} / \text{egg whole weight}) * 100$$

$$\text{Albumin\%} = (\text{Albumin weight} / \text{egg whole weight}) * 100$$

$$\text{Egg Shape Index} = (\text{Breadth} / \text{Length}) * 100$$

$$\text{Egg Surface area} = (3.155 - 0.0136 * L + 0.0115 * B) * LB$$

$$\text{Egg Volume} = (0.6057 - 0.0018 * B) * LB^2$$

Where:

L= Length

B= breadth

The statistical software SAS [18] was utilized to compute the mean, standard error, and significance using the General Linear Model (GLM). The discrepancies between the means were tested using the Duncan multiple range test [19].

## **Result and Discussion**

The mean and standard error for the egg productivity performance by using different concentrations of azolla are shown in table 2. All the traits were significantly differing among the treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). Hen day% was higher when the concentration of azolla was 8% and treated with enzyme, which was (87.21) %. The egg mass was also higher when the azolla concentration was 8% and treated with enzyme, which was (8.15). The feed intake (FI), and FCR was better when the azolla concentration was 8% and treated

with enzyme (35.25, and 4.59) respectively. Our result agrees with [20], which used azolla in the diet of layer chicken and observed increase of egg production. Also [21] found that adding 100g/bird/day increase the egg production, but [22] noticed non-significant differences in feed consumption when he used the azolla in Japanese quail diet.

The mean and the standard error for the internal egg traits that treated with different levels of azolla are shown in table 3. The egg weight mean was significant among the treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). It was higher when the azolla concentration 8%, in both with out and within enzyme (9.53, and 9.33) g respectively. The albumin weight also was differing significantly among the treatment, which was higher when the azolla concentration 4% (5.58) g, and lower when add enzyme to the 4% of azolla (4.55) g. The yolk weight was higher when the azolla level 8% with added enzyme (4.01) g, and lower in both 0% and 4% concentrations (3.14, and 2.97) g respectively. The eggshell weight was higher when the azolla concentration was 8% and treated with enzyme. The albumin ratio was higher in both the control and 4% concentration of azolla (59.38, and 63.95) % respectively. Yolk ratio was higher in both 4%, and 8% Azolla that treated with enzyme (40.42, and 43.28) % respectively. The eggshell ratio was higher when the azolla was 8% and treated with enzyme (8.59) %. [23] Found in their study, that using azolla up to 6% in the diet of laying quail did not effect on the egg production, FCR, and egg quality traits, also [24], found in their study who used 10% of azolla meal in laying hen that the egg quality traits did not effected significantly by the level of azolla. But [25], found in his study significant differences in egg weight, and the internal egg traits.

Mean and standard error for the external egg traits that treated with different levels of azolla are shown in table 4. All the traits were significantly differing ( $p < 0.05$ ). The egg length was higher when the azolla concentration 8% and treated with enzyme (33.79) mm, and lower in both 4% azolla treated and non-treated with enzyme (31.58, and 31.71) mm. The egg breadth was higher when the azolla was 8% concentration without enzyme (25.67) mm. The egg shape index was high when the azolla 8% and treated with enzyme (73.88) %. The egg surface area (ESA) was higher when the azolla concentration was 0%, and 8% in both treated and non-treated with enzyme (2464.17,

2545.46, and 2509.50) mm<sup>2</sup> respectively. The egg volume (EV) was also like the egg surface area, which was (11656.97, 12193.03, and 11782.26) mm<sup>3</sup> respectively. The eggshell thickness was higher when the azolla concentration was 0%, which was (0.24) mm. [25], found that using the azolla with up to 7.5% were significantly differ in all the egg external traits.

The mean and standard error of the productivity performance by using different concentration of azolla are shown in table 5. The non-fertilized and fertilized eggs were did not differ significantly among the treatments ( $p>0.05$ ). The dead embryo was higher when the concentration of azolla was 0%, and the live chicks were high in all the treatments except when the azolla concentration was 0%.

### Conclusion

We conclude that adding azolla at 8% with enzyme was enhanced and increase the productivity such as (H.D.%, egg mass, Feed

intake, and FCR), and the egg internal and external traits of Japanese quail.

### Acknowledgment

The authors are very grateful to the College of Agriculture, especially for the farm staff for providing most of the requirements for supporting this research.

### Funding statement

The research mentioned above was funded by the researchers themselves and without any external funding

### Authors contributions:

All named authors have made an active contribution to the conception and design and analysis and interpretation of the data and the drafting of the paper and All have critically reviewed its content and have approved the final version submitted for publication.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declared no competing interests

**TABLE 1. The percentage and chemical composition of rations of experiment birds.**

Ingredients	control	Azolla 4%	Azolla 8%	Azolla 4% + Enzyme	Azolla 8% + Enzyme
Wheat	34.72	43.05	44.12	43.05	44.12
corn	24.70	14.50	11.00	14.50	11.00
oil	3.50	4.20	4.50	4.20	4.50
soybean meal 44%	30.00	27.00	25.00	27.00	25.00
azolla	0.00	4.00	8.00	4.00	8.00
lysine	0.08	0.15	0.20	0.15	0.20
Methionine	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.23
DCP	0.60	0.70	0.75	0.70	0.75
Limestone	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
T. Salt	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Colin clorid	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Energy Kcal/Kg	2897	2908	2905	2908	2905
Protein %	20.2	20	20.01	20	20.01
Lysin %	1.05	1.045	1.034	1.045	1.034
Methionin %	0.48	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.47
Ca %	2.511	2.526	2.533	2.526	2.533
Available phosphorous %	0.303	0.302	0.298	0.302	0.298

**TABLE 2.** The mean and standard error for the egg productivity performance by using different concentrations of azolla.

Traits	Control Azola 0%	Azola 4%	Azola 8%	Azola 4%+Enzyme	Azola 8%+Enzyme
Hen Day %	73.82±3.39 <sup>b</sup>	69.39±4.00 <sup>b</sup>	75.04±4.43 <sup>b</sup>	78.04±3.81 <sup>ab</sup>	87.21±3.87 <sup>a</sup>
Egg mass g/bird/day	6.62±0.31 <sup>b</sup>	6.07±0.30 <sup>b</sup>	7.09±0.37 <sup>b</sup>	6.84±0.30 <sup>b</sup>	8.15±0.39 <sup>a</sup>
FI g/bird/ day	36.67±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	35.95±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	35.84±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	35.59±0.10 <sup>c</sup>	35.25±0.05 <sup>d</sup>
FCR bird/day	7.21±0.52 <sup>a</sup>	6.45±0.50 <sup>ab</sup>	5.25±0.43 <sup>bc</sup>	5.98±0.49 <sup>abc</sup>	4.59±0.40 <sup>c</sup>

Means with different superscripts in each row differ significantly (P<0.05).

**TABLE 3.** Mean and standard error for the internal egg traits that treated with different levels of azolla.

Traits	Control Azola 0%	Azolla 4%	Azolla 8%	Azolla 4%+Enzyme	Azolla 8%+Enzyme
EW (g)	8.84±1.82 <sup>b</sup>	8.74±0.98 <sup>b</sup>	9.53±1.04 <sup>a</sup>	8.70±2.63 <sup>b</sup>	9.33±1.14 <sup>a</sup>
AIW (g)	5.18±1.11 <sup>ab</sup>	5.58±2.98 <sup>a</sup>	5.06±1.73 <sup>abc</sup>	4.55±0.83 <sup>c</sup>	4.67±2.52 <sup>bc</sup>
YW (g)	3.14±3.12 <sup>c</sup>	2.97±2.86 <sup>c</sup>	3.36±2.11 <sup>b</sup>	3.48±1.98 <sup>b</sup>	4.01±3.06 <sup>a</sup>
EShW (g)	0.69±1.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.66±0.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.72±1.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.71±1.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.80±0.63 <sup>a</sup>
AI %	59.38±2.04 <sup>a</sup>	63.95±2.72 <sup>a</sup>	53.21±1.84 <sup>b</sup>	52.30±1.99 <sup>b</sup>	50.12±2.07 <sup>b</sup>
Y %	35.91±1.11 <sup>b</sup>	34.60±2.09 <sup>b</sup>	35.53±3.24 <sup>b</sup>	40.42±2.64 <sup>a</sup>	43.28±1.82 <sup>a</sup>
ESh %	7.87±1.48 <sup>ab</sup>	7.66±1.03 <sup>ab</sup>	7.60±1.72 <sup>b</sup>	8.28±2.17 <sup>ab</sup>	8.59±1.22 <sup>a</sup>

EW=Egg weight, AIW= Albumin weight, YW=Yolk weight, EShW= Eggshell weight, AI%=Albumin%, Y%=Yolk%, ESh%=Eggshell%. Means with different superscripts in each row differ significantly (P<0.05).

**TABLE 4.** Mean and standard error for the external egg traits that treated with different levels of azolla

Traits	Control Azola 0%	Azola 4%	Azola 8%	Azola 4%+Enzyme	Azola 8%+Enzyme
EL (mm)	32.29±4.61 <sup>bc</sup>	31.58±2.13 <sup>c</sup>	33.06±2.75 <sup>ab</sup>	31.71±3.01 <sup>c</sup>	33.79±2.38 <sup>a</sup>
EB (mm)	25.36±2.75 <sup>ab</sup>	24.71±1.82 <sup>c</sup>	25.67±2.26 <sup>a</sup>	24.92±3.84 <sup>bc</sup>	24.91±1.16 <sup>bc</sup>
ESI (%)	78.65±1.28 <sup>a</sup>	78.39±2.93 <sup>a</sup>	77.82±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	78.81±2.72 <sup>a</sup>	73.88±1.38 <sup>b</sup>
ESA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	2464.17±2.01 <sup>a</sup>	2348.89±1.19 <sup>b</sup>	2545.46±2.91 <sup>a</sup>	2378.18±2.77 <sup>b</sup>	2509.50±1.05 <sup>a</sup>
EV (mm <sup>3</sup> )	11656.97±1.03 <sup>a</sup>	10835.46±1.71 <sup>b</sup>	12193.03±0.95 <sup>a</sup>	11057.39±1.32 <sup>b</sup>	11782.26±1.51 <sup>a</sup>
ETh (mm)	0.24±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.21±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.22±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.21±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.21±0.01 <sup>b</sup>

EL=Egg length, EB= Egg breadth, ESI=Egg shape index, ESA=Egg surface area, EV=Egg volume, ETh= Eggshell thickness. Means with different superscripts in each row differ significantly (P<0.05).

**TABLE 5.** The mean and standard error of the productivity performance by using different concentration of azolla

Traits	Control 0%	Azola 4%	Azola 8%	Azola 4%+Enzyme	Azola 8%+Enzyme
NF (%)	20.18±7.82 <sup>a</sup>	5.88±4.15 <sup>a</sup>	10.18±5.76 <sup>a</sup>	13.98±8.07 <sup>a</sup>	14.28±5.40 <sup>a</sup>
F (%)	79.83±7.82 <sup>a</sup>	94.13±4.15 <sup>a</sup>	89.85±5.76 <sup>a</sup>	86.03±8.07 <sup>a</sup>	85.73±5.40 <sup>a</sup>
D (%)	67.20±11.01 <sup>a</sup>	11.35±7.20 <sup>b</sup>	37.90±12.05 <sup>b</sup>	18.95±6.32 <sup>b</sup>	20.88±7.98 <sup>b</sup>
L (%)	32.80±11.01 <sup>b</sup>	88.65±7.20 <sup>a</sup>	62.10±12.05 <sup>a</sup>	81.05±6.32 <sup>a</sup>	79.13±7.98 <sup>a</sup>

NF=Non-fertilized, F=Fertilized, D=Dead, L=Live. Means with different superscripts in each row differ significantly (P<0.05).

---

**References**

1. Mathur, G.N., Sharma, R. and Choudhary, P.C. Use of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) as cattle feed supplement. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, **2**, 73-75 (2013).
2. Naghshi, H., Khojasteh, S. and Jafari, M. Investigation of the effect of different levels of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) on performance and characteristics of Cobb broiler chicks. *International Journal of Farming and Allied Science*, **3**, 45-49 (2014).
3. Al-Jabari H., Baker A., Amen S. and Shaker A. The effect of adding Azolla plant powder in quail diets on the carcass traits and some blood traits. *Egyptian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, **55**(5), 1251-1255 (2024).
4. Acharya, P., Mohanty, G.P., Pradhan, C.R., Mishra, S.K., Beura, N.C. and Moharana, B. Exploring the effects of inclusion of dietary fresh Azolla on the performance of White Pekin broiler ducks. *Veterinary World*, **8**, 1293-1299 (2015).
5. Nwanna, L.C. and Falaye, A.E. Substitution of Azolla meal for groundnut cake in diets for Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L). *Applied Tropical Agriculture*, **2**, 139-143 (1997).
6. Hossiny, H., Setoudeh, M., Rokni, H., Dehghanzadeh, H. and Cheraghcheshm, M. Using of silage Azolla in Guilan male calves nutrition. Proceedings of Third National Congress of Recycling and Reuse of Renewable (2008).
7. Anitha, K.C., Rajeshwari, Y.B., Prabhu, T.M., Patil, M.V., Shree, J.S. and Anupkumar, P.K. Effect of supplementary feeding of Azolla on growth performance of broiler rabbits. *ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, **11**, 30-36 (2016).
8. Lejeunea, A., Cagauan, A. and Vanhove, C. Azolla research and development recent trends and properties. *Symbiosis*, **27**, 333-351 (1999).
9. Shamna, T.P., Peethambaran, P.A., Jalaludeen, A., Joseph, L. and Aslam, M.K.M. Broiler characteristics of Japanese quails (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) at different levels of diet substitution with *Azolla pinnata*. *Animal Science Report*, **7**, 75-80 (2013).
10. Ali, M. A. and Leeson, S. The nutritive value of some indigenous Asian poultry feed ingredients. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **55** (3-4), 227-237 (1995).
11. Khatun, A., Ali, M.A. and Dingle, L.G. Comparison of the nutritive value for laying hens of diets containing Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) based on formulation using digestible protein and digestible amino acid versus total protein. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **81**(2):43-56 (1999).
12. Austic, R.E. and Neshiem, M.C. Poultry production, 13th edition. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia (1990).
13. Al-Neemi, M. I. and Ahmad, H. M. Effect of adding probiotic and prebiotic to the growth diets with and within animal protein concentrate upon growth performance of Japanese quail. *Kirkuk University Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, **8**(5), 46-59 (2017).
14. Fars, S. E. and Al-Naami, M. E. Improvement of sorghum (Sudani) Nutritional value by using mineral mud clay (Fatha-F), Eucalyptus wood charcoal and methionine in Japanese quail female diet. *Kirkuk University Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, **9**(2), 18-31 (2018).
15. Shaker, A.S., Mohammed, A.K. and Razuki, W.M. Estimation of genetic parameters for egg production traits in Japanese quail that selected for immune responses and fed different levels of dietary L-arginine. *Kirkuk University Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, **14**(1), 73-81 (2023).
16. Alsalihi, L.W., Shaker, A.S., Ameen, Q.A. and Ortega Torres, M.J. The effect of line and age on the egg external characteristics of Japanese quail. *Basrah Journal of Veterinary Research*, **21**(S1), 27-34 (2022).
17. Shaker, A.S. and Aziz, S.R. Internal traits of egg and their relationship to shank feathering in chicken using principal component analysis. *Poultry Science Journal*, **5**(1), 1-5 (2017).
18. SAS. SAS/STAT' User's guide for personal computers. Release 8.2. SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA (2005).
19. Duncan, D. B. Multiple range and multiple F test. *Biometrics*, **11**, 1-24 (1955).
20. Lakshmanan, A., Kumar, K. and Latha, P. Azolla - a low cost and effective feed supplement to poultry birds. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, **6**, 3622-3627 (2017).
21. Kannaiyan, S. and Kumar, K. Azolla biofertilizer for sustainable rice production. Daya Publishing House, Delhi, India (2005).

22. Rathod, G.R., Pramod, T., Praveen, T., Mandal, A.B. and Shinde, A.S. Feeding value of Azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) meal in growing Japanese quail. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, **48**, 154-158 (2013).
23. Lakshmi, R., Seshiah, Ch., Reddy, P., Nagaraja, K. and Kumar, I. Influence of incorporation of azolla meal on performance of laying Japanese quail. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, **36**(1), 47-50 (2019).
24. Boitai, S.S., Babu, L.K., Panda, A.K. and Shoo, L.M.B. Effect of dietary incorporation of azolla meal on production performance and egg quality of Vanaraja laying hens. *International Journal of Livestock Research*, **8**(5), 264-270 (2017).
25. Seid, A. Effect of inclusion of graded levels of azolla (*Azolla pinnata*) meal in layings' diets on productive performance and egg quality parameters. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, **35**, 3 (2023).

### تأثير إضافة مسحوق نبات الأزولا في علائق طائر السمان على الأداء الإنتاجي وبعض الصفات النوعية للبيض

قانع حسين الجباري<sup>١</sup>، محمد عبد الرحيم محمد<sup>١</sup>، احمد غفور بكر<sup>١</sup> و احمد سامي شاكر<sup>٢</sup>

<sup>١</sup> قسم الانتاج الحيواني - كلية الزراعة - جامعة كركوك - كركوك - العراق.

<sup>٢</sup> قسم الانتاج الحيواني - مديرية البحوث الزراعية - السليمانية - العراق.

أجريت هذه الدراسة في حقل الدواجن التابعة لقسم الإنتاج الحيواني / كلية الزراعة / جامعة كركوك للفترة من (١٨ شباط ٢٠٢٢ ولغاية ١٨ نيسان ٢٠٢٢)، لمعرفة تأثير استخدام نسب مختلفة من نبات الأزولا المجفف على أداء إنتاج بيض السمان الياباني، وجودة البيض. تم توزيع عشرين ذكرًا وستين أنثى في عمر ٤٠ يومًا بشكل عشوائي لخمسة على خمسة معاملات تجريبية (٠٪ أزولا، ٤٪ أزولا، ٨٪ أزولا، ٤٪ أزولا + إنزيم، و ٨٪ أزولا + إنزيم). باستخدام الميزان الإلكتروني والفيونا الرقمي، تم أخذ إنتاج البيض وسمات جودة البيض يوميًا، واستخدام النموذج الخطي العام GLM ضمن برنامج SAS لحساب المتوسط والخطأ القياسي واستخدام اختبار دنكان متعدد المدى لاختبار الفروق بين المتوسطات. وكانت جميع الصفات تختلف معنويًا بين المعاملات  $P < 0.05$ . نستنتج أن إضافة الأزولا بنسبة ٨٪ مع الإنزيم أدى إلى تحسين الإنتاجية وسمات جودة البيض لطائر السمان الياباني.

الكلمات الدالة: أزولا، سمان، بيض، الصفات.