**Salmonella Species Threats in Duck Meat in Egypt: Prevalence and Correlation Between Antimicrobial Resistance and Biofilm Production**

Romisaa Ali, Asmaa Sadat* and Gamal Younis

Department of Bacteriology, Mycology, and Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, 35516, Egypt.

romisaaali91@gmail.com; asmaasadat@mans.edu.eg; gamalyounis_2006@hotmail.com

**Introduction**

Salmonellosis, a frequently recovered foodborne disease, is accounted to be one of the most significant pathogens causing public health hazards in most countries [1]. The world health organization (WHO) surveillance program for control of foodborne infection and intoxication has noticed the rise in the occurrence of salmonellosis [2] and reported that it is the causative agent for most outbreaks and human cases in the US [3].

*Salmonella* is a foodborne, Gram-negative bacterium able to stand the absence of oxygen and cause enteric disease in animals and humans. In addition, it is the main causative agent of most gastrointestinal diseases all over the world. In this study, we aimed to investigate the prevalence of *Salmonella spp* among duck meat isolated from retail shops in Mansoura city in Egypt in addition to evaluating their antimicrobial sensitivity. A total of 170 duck meat samples were sampled in different areas through Mansoura city in Egypt to identify *Salmonella spp* by standard isolation method. PCR gene amplification for the *inva* targeting gene was used to confirm suspected isolates. All the confirmed isolates were assessed for their antimicrobial resistance using a traditional disc diffusion test. Results confirmed ten isolates encoding the *inva* gene. The ten confirmed *Salmonella spp* confer 90% against the B-lactam and lincosamide family (amoxicillin and clindamycin); 40% resistance to aminoglycoside (gentamicin) and 30% was exhibited against fluoroquinolone, tetracycline, and sulphonamide (ciprofloxacine, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole). Meanwhile, chloramphenicol was the lowest represented in our study only one strain was found resistant against it. Biofilm-forming *Salmonella* strains were characterized in more than half of our isolates. In conclusion, the emergence of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* strains in addition to their ability to produce biofilm require urgent monitoring measures and new eradication techniques; Furthermore, it confirms the ability of duck to act as a vehicle for *Salmonella* strains in human besides the hazard of acquiring antimicrobial resistance via food.
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was first known by Theobald Smith in 1855 from the infected pig intestines [7]. Thus, the intestinal tract of animals such as swine, poultry, and cattle are considered reservoirs for Salmonella infections; they are involved in the transfer of the pathogen especially through contamination of uncooked animal-derived food products. Contamination by Salmonella can occur due to unhygienic techniques during the slaughtering or during the cooking process [8-10]. Poultry is the main reservoir of salmonellosis dominating other food animals and the main responsible for 23% of human cases 17% of these cases were caused due to chicken meat consumption [11]. During the poultry production cycle, the possibility of Salmonella contamination can occur at several points at the abattoirs or the poultry processing equipment.

The antibiotic-resistant Salmonella strains have emerged which led to public health difficulties [12]. The first isolated resistant Salmonella strain was against the antimicrobial agent chloramphenicol [13]. Since then, the incidence of resistant Salmonella strains was extensively reported worldwide [14]. The first line of antibiotics used for salmonellosis treatment, ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole, Salmonella spp was found to gain resistance against them. Recently, scientists have been using fluoroquinolones and extended-spectrum cephalosporins as substitutes for first-line antibiotics, and resistant strains have emerged [15]. Moreover, the incidence of MDR Salmonella strains has been exaggerated [16]. For years, reports illustrated that the increased rate of MDR strains may be attributed to usage of the antibiotics as growth promoters in animal diets or the inappropriate usage of antibiotics in treatment through veterinary practice [17].

Microbial society is divided into pathogens, which are capable to produce biofilm, and others that stay in their planktonic form [18]. Bacteria produce biofilm in response to stress conditions to enable them to survive urgent unfavored conditions [19]. Biofilm production helps bacteria escape host defense mechanisms, and antimicrobial agents [20,21]. Previous studies have compared the survival rate of the biofilm-producer bacteria and its planktonic form, the data revealed that the biofilm-producer bacteria can resist antibiotics from 10 to 1000 times more than its planktonic form [22-24]. Extensive studies were performed to discuss the role of biofilm formation in Salmonella during the infection and transmission cycle [25-27]. Therefore, evaluating the role of biofilm production in resisting antimicrobial resistance should be assessed.

Studies were conducted to evaluate the emergence of Salmonella strains in Egypt [28, 29]. The necessity of continuous assessment of the frequency of Salmonella and their multidrug resistance is needed in addition to biofilm production. Therefore, we aim to evaluate the occurrence of Salmonella spp in raw duck meat in duck meat samples recovered from retail markets in Mansoura city, Egypt. Moreover, the determination of their antimicrobial resistance and biofilm production and analysis of the correlation between the ability of Salmonella strain biofilm production and antimicrobial resistance to assist the risk of infection.

Material and Methods

Ethical statement

Our study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Egypt under Protocol code number: M/67.

Sampling

The period between November and January 2020, 170 samples were collected from duck meat and were gathered in random manner from most of retail shops represented in supermarkets, and street markets in Mansoura city, Egypt. All the samples were allocated and stored in sterile one-time used containers and shipped in an icebox to the Laboratory of Bacteriology, Mycology, and Immunology Department at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University for examination within 6 hours.

Isolation and identification of Salmonella spp

Isolation of Salmonella spp

The standard method ISO6579:2002 (International Organization for Standardization, 2002) was followed in culturing the duck meat samples to isolate Salmonella isolates. Pre-Enrichment of samples was performed by roughly mixing 25 grams of duck meat with 225ml buffered peptone water (BPW; Oxoid, UK) and was incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hr. Then, 1 ml of the pre-enriched 24hrs BPW broth was added to 9 ml Rappaport Vassiliadis broth (RV; Oxoid, UK) at 42°C for 18 h for a second enrichment. The selective Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate agar (XLD; Oxoid, UK) was used as a selective media for Salmonella spp. Briefly, loopful from the 18-
hr enriched RV broth was streaked on XLD and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hr. All the suspected Salmonella isolates were further tested for their biochemical activity and all the biochemically confirmed Salmonella isolates were stored at 80°C at 70% glycerol for further diagnosis.

Identification of Salmonella spp strains

**Molecular conformation of Salmonella spp**

DNA sample extraction

All the biochemically confirmed to be Salmonella isolates were subjected to extraction of DNA samples via the boiling technique [30]. The suspected isolates were cultured overnight and single to two colonies were selected and mixed in Eppendorf contain 100 μl deionized free water. The Eppendorf was boiled in water bath for 10 minutes. All heated samples were centrifuged at maximum for 3 to 5 minutes and were followed by transferring all the supernatant in a sterile Eppendorf tube. All the suspected DNA samples were stored at -20 °C for molecular characterization.

**Molecular characterization of Salmonella spp strains using PCR**

The suspected isolates were screened for the Salmonella species-specific gene invA via polymerase chain reaction (PCR), using the primer sequence F: GTGAAATTATCGCCACGTTCGGGCAAn and R: TCATCGCAACCGTCAAAGGAACC which amplified at 284 bp as described earlier [31]. The cycling conditions began with initial amplification for 2 min at 95°C then 35 cycles of amplification for 1 min at 95°C, annealing at 62°C for 30 sec, and extension for 30 sec at 72°C; and as a final step, a cycle of 10 min at 72°C was applied. The PCR products were visualized by gel electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels stained by ethidium bromide and gel documentation. Positive control was obtained from previous studies [28, 29].

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for Salmonella strains**

The Kirby–Bauer disc diffusion method was used to assess the antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella strains on Mueller–Hinton agar plates (MHA; Oxoid, UK) after 24 h of incubation at 37°C, as discussed before by the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute [32] recommendations. Seven antibiotics belonging to seven antimicrobial classes were used: amoxicillin (AM, 10 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μg), gentamicin (CN, 10 μg), tetracycline (TE, 30 μg), chloramphenicol (C, 30 μg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT, 25 μg), and clindamycin (DA, 2 μg). The antimicrobial susceptibility results were interpreted as mentioned before by CLSI [32]. Resistance against three or more antimicrobial classes was recognized as multidrug resistance (MDR) [33]. The multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was calculated by dividing the total number of antimicrobial resistances for each isolate by the total number of antimicrobials tested [34].

Biofilm production assessment

Salmonella strains were evaluated against biofilm production by the crystal violet glass tube method [35]. An overnight culture for 10 hr at 28°C of Salmonella strains in sterile glass tubes containing Tryptone Soya Broth (TSB; Oxoid, UK) was performed followed by discarding all the supernatant in a hygienic manner. Then, the glass tubes were stained in a standing position with 1% crystal violet stain for 15 min. After that, all the stain was discarded in a hygienic manner and washed using distilled water two or three times. An uncultured TSB tube was used as a negative control. The trial was held in triplicate. All data were interrupted in four results according to the density of the visible film underlying the tubes stained (strong positive, positive, weak positive, and negative).

**Statistical methods**

A correlation analysis was done to determine the relationship between phenotypic antimicrobial resistance agents and biofilm production of Salmonella strains in our study as discussed before [36, 37]. The results were interrupted according to Jiang et al. [38].

**Results**

The total viable bacterial count in poultry meat and egg samples ranged between 4.25x10⁶ and 1.165x10⁹ CFU/ml (Table 1).

Prevalence of Salmonella spp isolates in duck meat.

Our study examined an estimated number of 170 sampled duck meat, only nineteen samples were tested and characterized by red colonies with a black center on XLD agar, and the further examination by biochemical methods excluded them to eleven isolates. Meanwhile, using PCR gene amplification of the invA gene, only 10 isolates (5.9% of the total samples) encoded the invA gene and were confirmed to be Salmonella strains (Figures 1, 2).
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TABLE 1. Viable plate count and arithmetic mean of Salmonella spp from different samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cfu/ml</th>
<th>Log value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.51x10⁷</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5.8x10⁶</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>7.4x10⁷</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>6.25x10⁶</td>
<td>6.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.325x10⁷</td>
<td>7.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1.24x10⁷</td>
<td>7.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>1.165x10⁶</td>
<td>8.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>1.7x10⁷</td>
<td>7.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>6x10⁶</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>4.25x10⁶</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Illustration of amplification of the invA gene at 284 bp in Salmonella strains isolated from duck meat. L: ladder 100bp; Lane1; negative control (water sample) at Lane 2; positive control at Lane 3; Salmonella isolates from Lane 4 to 13.

Fig. 2. Prevalence and biofilm production of Salmonella spp strains in duck samples using PCR assay and Crystal violet glass tube test, respectively.
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Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella strains in raw duck meat

Phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella strains recovered from duck meat against 7 antimicrobial agents belonging to seven antimicrobial classes was investigated against the ten identified Salmonella isolates. The highest antimicrobial resistance was observed against amoxicillin and clindamycin (90% for each), followed by gentamicin (40%), and ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (30% for each). The lowest frequency of antimicrobial resistance was observed against chloramphenicol (10%) (Table 2). Six Salmonella strains (60%) showed MDR against three or more antibiotic classes, while the other strains were sensitive against the tested antibiotics. The most prevalent antimicrobial-resistant pattern was AM, CIP, CN, SXT, DA which was observed in two strains. The MAR index ranged between 0.14 to 0.7 (Tables 3).

Biofilm production

In our study, the biofilm-producer Salmonella strains exhibited a higher percentage than the non-biofilm-producer strains. A total of 6 isolates (60%) were positive for biofilm production ranging from strong positive, positive, and weakly positive in 20% (2/10) or each. Four strains (40%) were found negative for biofilm production using crystal violet tube test.

<p>| TABLE 2. Percentage of antimicrobial susceptibility for Salmonella isolates (n=10). |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial agent</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Disc code</th>
<th>CPD</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>Sensitive</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>β-lactam</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>10μg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>5μg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>Aminoglycoside</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>10μg</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>TE</td>
<td>30μg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>Inhibit protein synthesis</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>30μg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>Sulphonamide</td>
<td>SXT</td>
<td>25μg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>Lincosamide</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>2μg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| TABLE 3. Antibiotypes and multiple antimicrobial resistance (MAR) for Salmonella spp. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotype</th>
<th>Resistance pattern</th>
<th>MDR</th>
<th>MAR Index</th>
<th>Isolates No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>DA</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>AM-DA</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>AM, TE, SXT, DA</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>AM, CN, TE, DA</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>AM, CN, C, DA</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>AM, CIP, TE, DA</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>AM, CIP, CN, SXT, DA</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AM, Amoxicillin; DA, Clindamycin; TE, Tetracycline; SXT, Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; CN, Gentamicin; C, Chloramphenicol; CIP, Ciprofloxacin.
Analysis of the correlation of antimicrobial resistance phenotypes and biofilm production in Salmonella strains in duck meat

In our study, we analysed the correlation between antimicrobial resistance phenotypes and biofilm production for Salmonella strains. Interrupted results had shown a moderate positive significant correlation between biofilm production and the antimicrobial resistance phenotype CIP, SXT DA, and AM (r= 0.53, 0.53, 0.408, and 0.408, respectively). The other resistance phenotypes expressed weak significance (r) ranged from 0.27 to 0.08. While the antimicrobial resistance phenotypes belonging to different antimicrobial classes showed moderate positive significance in CIP and SXT (r=0.52) and CN and C (r=0.408). Non-significant relation was represented between AM and DA, CIP and TE, CIP and C, CN and TE, TE and C, and C and SXT which showed r< 0.05. Moreover, all the antimicrobial resistance phenotypes showing r from 0.35 to 0.089 were considered weak positive significant (Figure 4).

Fig. 3. Biofilm producing Salmonella detected using crystal violet tube test; Salmonella biofilm production was illustrated as following: A represented strong positive producer; B represented positive producer; C represented weak producer; D represented no biofilm production.

Fig. 4. Diagram showing the correlation between antimicrobial resistance, and biofilm production in Salmonella strains recovered from duck samples. Red and blue colors of boxes indicate positive and negative correlation, respectively. The density of the colors and numbers corresponds to the correlation coefficient (r).
Discussion

Salmonella infection has a public health concern as it is the major cause of gastrointestinal diseases worldwide. It inhibits the animal intestinal tract; Salmonellosis can occur by ingestion of food contaminated with animal feces [39, 40]. Recently, Salmonella outbreaks were reported as the third foodborne-related outbreaks after Norovirus and enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) [41] and the second reported cause of death in the United States [42]. Salmonellosis occurs through the consumption of different food sources unless the main cause is attributed to poultry consumption such as Chicken, duck, and eggs [43, 44].

In our study, the incidence of Salmonella spp in one hundred seventy duck meat collected from different retail markets (major supermarkets and retail shops) distributed in different areas in Mansoura city, Egypt were 10 (5.8%) Salmonella strains. Previous studied reported 1.9% (5/270) Salmonella strains in poultry samples [45]. Other reports observed a high prevalence of Salmonella strains in commercial markets by 54.7% [46], while in broiler farms nearly 19.2% of samples were reported positive for Salmonella [47]. The difference in isolation rate between different production stages indicates the importance of hygienic processes taken throughout the poultry supply chain from slaughtering to consumption. Therefore, it is critical to evaluate and take all the control measures at every stage of the production cycle. In addition, ensuring the heat treatment of food during the stage consumption is enough to stop the Salmonella infection cycle. According to the CDC, about the third quarter of Salmonella outbreaks; occur due to consumption of raw or insufficiently cooked poultry meat or eggs [48].

In recent years, multidrug-resistant Salmonella strains have emerged, and special concern should be given against them in the poultry industry which requires vigorous and continuous mentoring locally and widely. Our study reviled that a total of 60% (6) strains were multidrug resistant against the tested antibiotics amoxicillin, tetracycline, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin, and clindamycin. The resistance against these antibiotics confirms previous reports [49, 50]. The excessive usage of antimicrobial resistance in the poultry industry in way of medication against disease or as growth promotors may be the cause for the emerged resistance [51- 53], besides, reports about antimicrobial resistance acquisition by natural gene transfer have been discussed extensively in the last days and was reported as a major cause of increasing the antimicrobial resistance worldwide [54]. In regarding this, governmental efforts must be done to stop the unconscious usage of these antibiotics in the animal production cycle. This will give place to new measures to be taken in order to take a step in eliminating the emergence of multidrug resistance Salmonella strains and the emergence of new antibiotic resistance. Following a new alternative technique for medication should take place in our hygiene.

Salmonella biofilm producer strains have significant importance, especially in agriculture, industry, and food processing. It has the ability to stay in a dormant state until engulfed by the host and causing enteric diseases [55, 55, and 57]. Food products such as Poultry, meat, fruits, and vegetables were discussed to be a viable vehicle for Salmonella forming biofilm [58, 56, 59]. Human bacterial infections were implicated by biofilm counted to be more than 65% [60]. Our study showed the isolation rate of biofilm producer Salmonella; a total of six isolates were biofilm producers by different degrees while four isolates were non-biofilm producers. The significant correlation between antimicrobial resistance phenotypes and biofilm production gives us insight about the threats of biofilm in host health due to its ability to resist antibiotics. The high recovery rate of biofilm-forming Salmonella requires taking different hygiene measures besides improving the periodical monitoring measures taken through slaughterhouses, industry, retail shops, and even privet kitchens. Studies showed that performed to produce powerful disinfection and eradication methods capable to eliminate and stop the biofilm persistence in the food cycle.

Conclusion

Poultry meat is mainly infected through cross-contamination during the production process. The incidence rate of duck meat still low, but it has dangerous hazard because only one Salmonella colony can cause infection, however, the concept that Egyptian culture in food preparation depends on the consumption of fully cooked poultry is a
relief. Although, the risk of consumption of food contaminated by *Salmonella* is still present due to the possibility of contamination of food through contact between raw and cooked food. In addition, the recovery of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* strains and biofilm forming *Salmonella* is an alarming concern that needs urgent measures; especially due to the significant relation between antimicrobial phenotypes and biofilm production reported. Our study was limited to a single district of Dakahlia provenance (Mansoura city) in Egypt; thus, a recommendation is given to include different areas from Egypt to perform a surveillance study in addition including different food samples.
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Salmonella species THREATS IN DUCK MEAT IN EGYPT...


يعتبر ميكروب السالمونيلا من الميكروبات التي تستطيع الانقلاب عبر الغذاء ، وهي بكتيريا سالبة الجرام قادرة على تحمل نقص الأكسجين وقادرة على الانتشار عبر الجهاز الهضمي في جميع أنحاء العالم. هدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقسيم مدى انتشار السالمونيلا بين لحوم الدجاج المعزولة من محلات البيع بالتجزئة في مدينة المنصورة بصر، بالإضافة إلى تقييم حساسيتها لمضادات الميكروبات. تم تحليل عينة من لحوم الدجاج من مناطق مختلفة في مدينة المنصورة بصر للتعرف على بكتيريا السالمونيلا والتأكد من وجودها وتحليل جميع العزلات المؤكدة لمضادات الميكروبات باستخدام اختبار انتشار القرص التقليدي.

أدت النتائج وجود عشر عزلات من ميكروب السالمونيلا. تم التحقق من عزلات السالمونيلا المعزولة مقاومة عالية ضد المضادات الحيوية عائلة الببتاكتام ، تم عرض مقاومة متعددة للأمينوكليكوزيد (جنسيامين) ومقدمة أقل في الفلوروكسيولون والتراسيكلين والسلفوناميد (سيروفلوكسانين، التراسيكلين وتريميبريمي، سلفاميثوكسازول). وفيد خلال كل الكوارث نتائج هو الأقل تميزاً في دراستنا، ووجدت سلالة واحدة فقط مقاومة له. في الختام، تم تأكيد ظهور سلالات السالمونيلا المقاومة للأدوية المتعددة وكذلك عزلات سالمونيلا قادرة على التسبب في انتشار ميكروبات عن طريق الغذاء.
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